	Case 1:05-cv-00378-AWI-TAG	Document 15 Filed 07/31/06 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	STEPHAN LEE DONLEY,)
12	Petitioner,) 1:05-cv-00378-AWI-TAG HC
13	Totaloner,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
14	v.) (Doc. 11)
15	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	 ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (Doc. 1)
16	CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
17	Respondents.) ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO) ENTER JUDGMENT
18	Datition and a state unicon an accounting one secretary of the secretary o	
19 20	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On December 8, 2005, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case filed a Report and	
22	Recommendation recommending that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED because	
23	the Petition was not filed within the one-year time period provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1).	
24	(Doc. 11). The Report and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that any	
25	objections were to be filed within thirty days from the date of service of that order. On December	
26	19, 2005, Petitioner filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. (Doc.	
27	12). In his objections, Petitioner contended that he had suffered from mental disabilities that had	
28		
	1	

Case 1:05-cv-00378-AWI-TAG Document 15 Filed 07/31/06 Page 2 of 2

prevented him from filing a timely federal petition.

On January 9, 2006, the Court ordered Petitioner to provide, within thirty days, additional information regarding the nature and extent of his alleged mental disabilities. (Doc. 13). Petitioner never responded to the Court's order for additional information regarding the issues raised in his objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Petitioner's objections, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis. Petitioner's objections offer no grounds for questioning the Magistrate Judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Report and Recommendation issued December 8, 2005 (Doc. 11), is ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1), is DISMISSED; and
- 3. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to ENTER JUDGMENT for Respondent and close the file.
- 4. This order terminates the action in its entirety.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:July 29, 2006/s/ Anthony W. Ishii0m8i78UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE